

WATER RESOURCES COMMITTEE NOTES

February 15, 2022

Attendees:

Committee members:	Jim Curatalo (Chair) and Luis Cetina
Staff members:	Eduardo Espinoza, Rob Hills, Eric Grubb, Amanda Coker, Jiwon Seung
Members of the Public:	Jeff Davis

Call to Order: 4:30 p.m.

Public Comment: None

Additions/Deletions to Agenda: None

Introductory Remarks by Committee Member Cetina

Chair Curatalo announced for the record that this meeting was conducted by teleconference pursuant to Resolution No. 2022-2-1 in an effort to protect public health, prevent the spread of COVID-19 (Coronavirus), and because state and/or local officials are recommending or imposing measures to promote social distancing. Further, the agenda stated that there was no public location for attending this meeting in person. The agenda stated that the public may listen and provide comment telephonically by calling the number listed on the agenda. Chair Curatalo took a roll call of Committee members and staff present on the call as listed above.

I. Cucamonga Basin Update (verbal)

- Staff provided a verbal update on Cucamonga Basin (“Basin”) activities and collaboration efforts with the other Basin parties, San Antonio Water Company (SAWCo) and West End Consolidated Water Company (“West End”, represented by the City of Upland), specifically the current effort to retain a hydrogeologist.
- The committee received prior updates regarding this item on March 16, 2021 and April 6, 2021.
- Staff informed the committee that the parties considered several candidates and their proposals, which include scope items such as developing a groundwater model, SGMA reporting, and developing a basin management plan, among others. The District will manage the hydrogeologist contract. The final two short-listed consultants were brought back for interviews on February 1, 2021. The parties are deliberating and will finalize their decision soon.
- Staff informed the committee that due to time constraints; it may not be possible to bring the final decision back to the committee and asked if it may be taken directly to the Board for approval.
- The committee was supportive of Staff’s judgment and emphasized unanimous agreement by the parties on the final decision.

Meeting Adjourned at 4:52 p.m.